## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

brady Skinner, et al.	:	
Plaintiff(s),	Case No. 3:08-cv-0011	
vs.	District Judge <u>Walter Herbert Rice</u> Magistrate Judge :	
The New York Times Co., Inc., et al.	RULE 26(f) REPORT OF PARTIES  (to be filed not later than seven (7)	
Defendant(s).	days prior to the preliminary : pretrial conference)	
1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(1) and was attended by:	f), a meeting was held on April 3, 2008,	
Steven K. Dankof, Sr. , con	unsel for plaintiff(s) All Plaintiffs	
	unsel for plaintiff(s)	
, cou	unsel for plaintiff(s)	
Sherri Blank Lazear, cou	unsel for defendant(s) All Defendants	
Louis Colombo, coι	unsel for defendant(s) All Defendants	
, cou	unsel for defendant(s)	
	insel for defendant(s)	

2. The parties:					
<del></del>	have provided the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), including a medical package (if applicable).				
<u>X</u>	will exchange such disclosures 30 days after this Court's ruling on Defendants' 2/12/08  Motion to Dismiss ("Defendants' pending motion")*  are exempt from disclosure under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(E).				
	20(w)(1)(2).				
3. The parties:					
	unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).				
<u>X</u>	do not unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (c).				
	unanimously give contingent consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), for trial purposes only, in the event that the assigned District Judge is unavailable on the date set for trial (e.g., because of other trial settings, civil or criminal).				
4.	Recommended cut-off date for filing of motions directed to the pleadings:  45 days after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion.				
	40 days and this Courts failing on Defendants pending motion.				
5.	Recommended cut-off date for filing any motion to amend the pleadings and/or to add additional parties: 45 days after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion				
6.	Recommended discovery plan:				
	<ul><li>a. Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature, extent and scope of discovery that each party needs to: (1) make a settlement evaluation,</li><li>(2) prepare for case dispositive motions and (3) prepare for trial:</li></ul>				
	The parties have already voluntarily exchanged certain discovery relating to Defendants' pending motion. Following this Court's ruling regarding Defendants' pending motion, the parties will revisit the subject of any additional discovery peeded at that time				

<sup>\*</sup>Pursuant to this Court's 3/19/08 Order, Plaintiffs' date to respond to Defendants' pending motion has been extended until 5/15/08.

b. What changes should be made, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the local rules of this Court, including the limitations to 25 interrogatories/requests for admissions and the limitation of 10 depositions, each lasting no more than one day consisting of seven (7) hours?
To be determined after this Court's ruling on Defendants'
pending motion.
c. Additional recommended limitations on discovery:
To be determined after this Court's ruling on Defendants'
pending motion.
d. Recommended date for disclosure of lay witnesses.
To be determined after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion.

e. Describe the areas in which expert testimony is expected and indicate whether each expert has been or will be specifically retained within the meaning of Fed. R.

Civ. P. 26(a)(2). To be determined after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion. f. Recommended date for making primary expert designations: To be determined after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion. g. Recommended date for making rebuttal expert designations: To be determined after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion. h. The parties have electronically stored information in the following formats: The case presents the following issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced: To be determined after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion.

To be determined after this Court	s ruling on Defendants'
pending motion.	
Have the parties agreed on a procedur	e to assert such claims AFTER production
X No	
Yes	
	d the parties ask that the Court include their nent in an order.
i. Recommended discovery cut-off da	ate: TBD after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion.
Recommended dispositive motion date	E: TBD after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion.
Recommended date for status conferen	nce (if any): TBD after this Court's ruling Defendants' pending motion.
Suggestions as to type and timing of e	fforts at Alternative Dispute Resolution:
To be determined after this Court's	s ruling on Defendants'
pending motion.	

Recommended date for a final pretrial conference: TBD after this Court's ruling on Defendants' pending motion.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.	Has a settlement demand been made	Parties have had preliminary discussions regarding settlement? A response?	
	Date by which a settlement demand can be made: May 15, 2008  Date by which a response can be made:		
11.	Other matters pertinent to scheduling or management of this litigation:		
Signatures:			
Attorney for I	Plaintiff(s):	Attorney for Defendant(s)	
Share	- Mel	Laura Calamod	
Ohio Bar # 200 Trial Attorney	for Plaintiffs	Dhio Bar# 0025711 Trial Attorney for Defendan 45	
Ohio Bar #	_	Ohio Bar #	
Trial Attorney	101	Trial Attorney for	
Ohio Bar#		Ohio Bar #	
Trial Attorney	for	Trial Attorney for	
Ohio Bar #		Ohio Bar #	
Trial Attorney	for	Trial Attorney for	